4 7 1995

17

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1885-86

~~192~~

S. & A.)

File No. 3 of 1885

Serial Nos. 1—7

Later references.

S & A. 8 of 87
7 of 87
6 of 88
5 of 89
18 of 94
17 of 94
20 of 95
31 of 97

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1—7

Major **Part B for** June 1886

SUBJECT.

Proportionate pension to Marchant late clerk in the Commissioner Office Jey

---

To The Assistant Resident in Jeypore

No 280 Camp Dated 15. June 1886

Sl 7 Stating that the Darbar accepts its liability to pay a portion of Mr Marchants pension proportionate to his service in Jeypore

WD 2293—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

S/ countersigned

jeneral Serial No. 31.)

m 17 1885

4+1997

To The Comptroller
Mysore

Dated 10 September/85.

Despatched

Received S+H - 85 - 1

General No. 3471

No. 230.

Enclosures—Number. 2

With letter No. 1294 of 23rd July/84, forwarding for verification a Memo: of the service of Chr. Chandrasekhariah formerly a Clerk in the late Chief Commr's Office & now a Pensioner of the Hyderabad State

I Was the honor to return the Memo: received with the above duly verified in this Office as per statement attached, and to request that the proportionate amount of pension chargeable

Which compared with the extract received from the Accountant General Madras shows a discrepancy as regards the actual period of the applicant's Mysore Service. The Comptroller will, in communication with the Accountant General, ascertain the cause of this difference, and report the correct amount of pension chargeable to the Mysore State.

R.S. Secretary

P.S. There being some difference between the dates of Commencement & termination of service given in the Madras Accountant General's Memo & in the statement of Services verified in this Office

to Guyana may be examined and the result reported to this office with a view to place the same before the Dewan for Imam's orders.

By order

Secretary

& to point out the discrepancy noted in the margin.

The receipt in the Pay Abstract for June 1859 shows that Mr. Marchant has received his abbenchment in the Govt: the Auditor is requested to state whether Mr. Marchant can receive any more claim now of the Govt for Pension

Etc

Mr. Marchant's Pension Office 21st January 1859

Mr. verified from the Accountant's Records 1st April 1852 — 21st January 1859

Mr. Marchant's Pension Office 31st October 1858

Mr. Marchant's Pension Office 6th December 1853

Mr. Marchant's Pension Office 6th December 1853 — 31st October 1858

Mr. Marchant's Pension Office 1st April 1852 — 21st January 1859

Statement of services of Mr Marchant formerly a Clerk in the late Commissioner's Office and now a Pensioner of the Hyderabad State, according to the Treasurer accounts

Office	Designation	Pay	From: Commencement of Service	From: From what accounts	To: Termination of Service	To: From what accounts	Total period of Service: Permanent	Temporary or Acting
Late Chief Commrs Office English Department	Writer	25	1-4-52	Bar award	31-7-54	Bar award	2	4
do do	do	35	1-8-54	do	31-5-57	do	2	10
Late Judl Commrs Office	do	35	1-6-57	do	21-1-59	do	1	21
						Total	6	9 21

It is remarked in the Pay Abstract for January 1859 that Mr Marchant resigned his appointment while at Hyderabad on leave & consequently his name was struck out of the strength of the Establishment from the 22nd January 1859.

Mysore Dewans Office
Bangalore September 1885

Offg Under Secretary

To No: 1879 4 17 Sept/85

The Secretary to the Dewan,
General Department.

SIR,

S+A 3852

With reference to para 2 of your No. 3471/230 of the 10th Instant requesting me to state whether Mr. Marchant could have any claim against the Mysore Government for pension, I have the honor to observe that as Mr. Marchant is found to have resigned his employment under the Mysore Government he had no claim on that Government on account of pension, according to the ruling of the Government of India in the case of Mr. Rungachariar and others, who similarly resigned British service for employment in Mysore.

If the Dewan concurs in this view, I propose addressing the Comptroller of Hyderabad on whose report the pension was sanctioned by the Resident of Hyderabad and declining to accept any share of it.

It is customary in cases of mixed service for the reporting officer to obtain the verification of the service by the Account Department of the Government to which it is proposed to charge a share

share of the pension, a course which was not followed by the Comptroller of Hyderabad in the present instance.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

H Mathews

Actg Comptroller.

4261 1885 4

From

The Comptroller

of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Dewan

General Department

Dated 17
Despd 19
Recd. "

} September

Encls. Nos

Nos 1878

With refce. to para 2 of
No. 3471/230 of 10th Instant, reporting
on the claim of pension of
Mr. Marchant.

I have &c.

Refers.

19/9/

Sir
Sir

21-9-

Submitted - Henry -

21/9/

Forward copy of correct Mr. Comptroller's and state if Mr Marchant is not under the Service Rules and if any pension can be granted to him.

Draft
24/9

21/9

(General Serial No. 31.)

From [illegible]

To The Asstt to the Resident - Mysore.

Dated 8th Nov 1885

Despatched 13th Nov 1885

Received 19th Nov 1885

Enclosures No.

General No. 3852

No. 206.

Sir,

I have the honor by - desire of the Dewan to forward for your information copy of the correspondence between me and the Comptroller regarding the share to be borne by the Government - towards the pension of Mr: Marshall - of Hyderabad service, and to state that Mr: Marshall - is not under the rules entitled to any pension from this Durbar having resigned his appointment under the Mysore Government

From Comptt to 1294 Dy 23.7.85-
To 3471 10-9-85-
230
From 1878 — 17-9-85-

for employment in Hyderabad as in the analogous case of V.S. Rangachary late an Accountant in the Mysore P.W. Department. the Govt of India have ruled that where an incumbent officer voluntarily resigns service under one Government for employment under a different one, no claim for pension should be recognised by the Govt whose service has been so resigned.

Thanks

25/9/55

9 SHA 583 4

Here, enter ref: Resident's
No: 1952/515 X dt 13th October 85, Stating
that the O/S. Resident concurs
in the Dewan's Opinion that
Dr. Jnanakant having voluntarily
resigned, his appointment
under the Mysore Govt., he
has no claim for pension

2 for
Infos

R

No: 4118/274 dt 29.10.85

Copy of the above is
forwarded to the Comptroller
for information.

RO

RC

AG

Dy Secretary
26/10/85

L

No. 1952/515.

Office of the Resident in Mysore
Dated Bangalore 13th October 1885.

To. S+H 3-85-5

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore,

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3752/206 dated 28th Ultimo regarding the pension of Mr. Marchant of the Hyderabad Service

I am desired to state that the Officiating Resident concurs in your opinion that Mr. Marchant having voluntarily resigned his appointment under the Mysore Government, he has no claim on that Government for any pension

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. D. Sewell
Assistant to the Resident.

Forward copy
to Comptroller
for information
&c.
Sd
26/10/85.

1885

5-148

26 OCT 85

DEWAN 380.

From the Assistant to the Resident

States Agt. Actaeus

No 1952/515

States that the Resident concurs in the opinion that Mr. Marchant has no claim on the Agency for any pension

In Committee Mr 24/1885 dy 27/10-83

11

No. 1324 / 5-153

S H 3-85-6

FROM

MAJOR E. A. FRASER,

ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

13—5—1886
put up reference
R.D

TO

The Dewan of
Mysore

Dated, Bangalore, 12th May 1886.

Sir Submitted
R.D
15-5-86

SIR,

In letter No. 3752-206 dated 28 September 1885 from your Office the question was raised as to whether the Mysore Government should be asked to pay a portion of the pension granted to Mr. S Merchant of the Hyderabad Service on account of his service in the Mysore Commissioner's Office from 6th December 1853 to 31st October 1858, and in the letter No 1952/515 5168. dated 13th October 1885 from this Office you were informed of the Officiating Resident's views on this matter. Views which I may explain have received

been

been modified by the altered ~~state~~ and conditions of the case as it is now presented -

2. The Mysore Durbar at will the remembrancer objected to bear any share of Mr. Merchants pension, according to the ruling of the Government of India in the case of Mr. Rangachariar and others who similarly resigned British service for employment in Mysore. But since then the Resident at Hyderabad has submitted the case to the Government of India for orders, and it has been referred to this Residency for the reconsideration of the Mysore Durbar under the following explanations.

3. The Government of India observes that the principle which it is proposed to follow in the case of Mr Merchant is exactly the same as that which has been followed in the case of Mr. Rangachariar and

and the other Officers to whom reference is made. Mr Rangachar resigned the service of the Government of Madras to join the service of the Mysore Government, and it has been decided that his pension should be calculated on the combined services, the British and the Mysore Government bearing their proper share of the pension. In this case, the Government of India point out, the British Government did not accept liability on account of Mr Rangachari's Mysore service, but the British Government did not object to pay a share of Mr Rangachari's pension proportionate to his service under the British Government.

2. The Government of India states that that decision was passed in view of the consideration that when Mysore was under British

British administration it was natural that Officers in Government Service proper should have felt no hesitation in resigning their appointments to join Mysore service and vice versâ, under circumstances in which similar resignation of one Government appointment to join another would not have affected their pensionary claims & under such circumstances the previous service whether in Mysore or under the British Government should be rejected, the result would the Government of India consider be unmerited hardship to the Officers Concerned.

5. Under these Circumstances the Government of India request that the Mysore Durbar may be moved to reconsider the question of its liability to pay a share of the pension of Rs 100/- granted

to

to Mrs. Hale-Grant, amounting to Rs. 5-1-5 as specified on the margin - and I am therefore to request the favour of an early reply to this reference.

Government of India — 3/16-11-11
Hyderabad Cossigan Ceded Districts, General Revenue 59-2-2
Excluded Local Funds 19-11-6
Mysore State — 5-1-5
100-0-0

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
H. Clarke
for Assistant to the Resident

WRJ

(General Serial No. 30.)

Regd

188

66

From Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Asst Resident.

S & H. 3-85-7

Dated Mysore 15th June 1885

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

Camp No. 280

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1324/5755 d. 12 May last, communicating the views of the Govt of India on pensions payable for services rendered partly in Mysore and partly British India and requesting Durbar's consideration of its liability to pay a portion of Wm Marchants pension

Have complied with

I am to state that, as the Govt of India have been pleased to recognize the principle of the Rule of proportion annexed in cases like the of Kaoluri Bangaeiani and his Merchant the Dunbar & accepts its liability to pay a portion of the latter pension proportionate to his service in Mysore the Comptroller of Mysore has been requested to arrange for the payment of the said portion

amounting Rs 5-1-5

I have &c

H. Levy

Cam/Ks 281

Copy to Comptroller for arranging for the payment of the said portion by the Merchants pension.